UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,065	11/15/2006	Yingxin Huang	21370/0212122-US0	5957
85854 Huawei Techno	7590 01/11/201 Blogies Co., Ltd.	EXAMINER		
c/o Darby & Da		SHAHEED, KHALID W		
P.O. Box 770 Church Street S	tation	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY	10008-0770	2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,065	HUANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	KHALID SHAHEED	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aband t, or other evidence, wh with 37 CFR 41.31; or d	nich places the (3) a Request
a) $\stackrel{.}{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILI	i. ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the control of the corresponding amount of the control of the corresponding amount of the corresponding	of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Office	e extension fee action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<del></del>	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered bee	auaa
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed and non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		r be entered and an exp	Dianation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hoforo or on the date of filing a No	ation of Appeal will not b	an antarad
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is n	ecessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	d.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	e because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617			
oupervisory Faterit Examiner, Art Offic 2017			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argumennts presented 12/11/09 are not persuasive. Firstly the filled remarks asert that the secondary reference Faccin (WO 03/014953) (hereinafter Faccin) receives for the subscriber database/Authentication Center 260 is only Security Association Parameters. Furthermore, the applicant argues that the present invention discloses "the visited network can directly obtain the user authentication results". The eaminer respectfully disagress. Faccin, clearly discloses: obtaining by the application server in the visited network, the roaming user's user information (see "Mobile Node 200 sends its identity", [0032]) comprising the user authentication results (see "Final Results" in fig. 3 and 4) of generic authentication architecture in the roaming user's home network. It is important to note that the security association parameters describe the security association [0002]. The parameters of Faccin are related to a "decision/choice" (page 7, lines 13-15) by home "server" 120 in fig. 1 (page 7, lines 13-15) of the parameters describing the selected Security Association to take place [0024]. The "final results" are provided to the V-GW (visited network) in fig. 3 & 4.

Additionally, the filed remarks indicate tht the the primary reference 3GPP TS 33.220 v6.0.0 (2004-03) only refers to the home network, so the method inin the home network in the 3GPP can't disclose both the technical features in the home network and the visited network. Again, the examiner respectully disagrees with this contention as 3GPP clearly discloses "the architecture shall not preclude the support of network application function in the visited network, or possibly even in a third network", (page 8, section 4.3). As a result the subject matter of the invention has been included within the disclosure of 3GPP. The examiner has only provided secondary reference to positively confirm this teaching using another related prior art.

The remarks futher deny that Faccin discloses the same features. The examiner respectully contends that Faccin in fact does disclose that that application server in a visted network contacts the roaming users home network in order to establish a secuirity association. ("the network entity, in the case the Agent 110, then contacts an entity in the mobile node's home domain...server 120")

The arguments futher make mention that Faccin does not disclose receiving a a service request message by the application server in the visited netowrk. The examiner respectfully disagrees and believes this is indiated in page 6, (lines 16-23). (see "a mobile node sends its identity and indications of the secuirty associations it needs to establish with a network entity via a connection that may inlude a wireless link to an agent").

Transaction identifier's which have been clearly defined by 3GPP see 4.3.7 and fig. 5 ("transaction identifier"). Using the interpretation of the instant application clearly provides that the "Bootstrapping-Transaction Identifier (B-TID) which is associated with Ks".

Additionally, the arguments presented indicate that in Faccin that the Mobile node 200 was verified after the visited GW received the request message. The examiner contends that this is not indicative of information found in the present inventors disclosure. Fig. 4 clearly shows that the visited network has an authentication request message back to the home network. This appears to occur after service request 404 occurs in fig. 4. Again, Faccin clearly disloses the security association is determined at the home network "conducts negotiations with the server 120" (page 7, line 4).

Lastly, the arguments present that the final results disclosed by Faccin are different to what has been disclosed by the present invention. The examiner disagrees. The returned sucessessful authentication dislosed in fig. 4 of the present invention relate to the same matter disclosed by Faccin. See paragraph [0092] referring to step 411: the message "has the shared Ks or Ks-derived key with the user. Serving as the MUK of MBMS, the key functions to protect the point-to-point encrypted transmission of MSK". Furthermore, in step 410 the authentication inforamtion of the present information is defined as "key information" in [0091]. This appears to be the same as the "Final Results" disclosed in fig. 3 & 4 which is also derived key inforamtion see "CK".